UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,577	07/02/2003	Koichi Yoshihara	7674 US	4481
30078	7590 11/27/2007		EXAM	INER
MATTHEW D. RABDAU TEKTRONIX, INC. WAN		TED M		
	ARL BRAUN DRIVE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. BOX 500 BEAVERTON	(50-LAW) I, OR 97077-0001		2611	
·			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Occurrence	10/613,577	YOSHIHARA, KC	YOSHIHARA, KOICHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ted M. Wang	2611		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMM 36(a). In no event, however, in will apply and will expire SIX (6 1) cause the application to become	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	ontombor 2007			
<u></u>	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	,	matters presention as to th	o morite is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		e ments is	
Disposition of Claims		70.0. 11, 400 0.0. 210.		
·				
4) Claim(s) <u>2-7 and 9-14</u> is/are pending in the app				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration	1.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 11-14</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requiremen	i t.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in al	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	ion is required if the dra	awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received	l.		
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	l in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have	peen received in this National	l Stage	
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies	s not received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	view Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· -	r:		

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed on 9/18/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 2-7 and 9-14 under 35 USC 112 first paragraph and 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Takao et al. (US 5,920,220).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art of the instant application in view of Takao et al. (US 5,920,220).
 - With regard claim 2, the admitted prior art of the instant application discloses an apparatus for displaying a modulated signal representing symbols of information to observe distortions (page 11 lines 10-15 and Fig.15 without elements 30 and FACTOR to LPFs 24 and 26) comprising:

Art Unit: 2611

means for deriving quadrature component signals and a symbol clock from the modulated signal (page 11 lines 12-14 and Fig.15 without elements 30 and FACTOR to LPFs 24 and 26);

means for generating a sample clock having a period equal to the symbol clock (page 11 lines 12-14 and Fig.15 without elements 30 and FACTOR to LPFs 24 and 26);

means for sampling the quadrature component signals with the sample clock (Fig.15 element 34 and page 11 lines 10-15) to produce constellation symbols (Fig.2); and

means for displaying the symbols on a quadrature coordinate plane (Fig.2 and page 2 lines 1-23).

The admitted prior art of the instant application discloses all of the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching (a) the sample clock being shifted one-half period in phase with respect to the symbol clock and (b) means for sampling the quadrature component signals with the sample shifted clock to produce pseudo-symbols as pairs of pseudo-symbols about a symbol sample point for each symbol.

However, Takao et al. teaches (a) the sample clock being shifted one-half period in phase with respect to the symbol clock (Fig.11 and Fig.13(f) and column 15 lines 51-52) in order to controlling the amount of phase shift of phase shift circuit 7 on the basis of this calculation result, a leading edge or trailing edge of corrected clock signal to can be brought into alignment with the optimum clock

Art Unit: 2611

timing (Fig.15 lines 21-24) so that the distortion can be easily detected to improve the quality.

Page 11, lines 1-16 of the instant application describes a typical receiver of a modulated signal as modified according to the instant application. It teaches that the instant application is different from the conventional receiver by inserting a delay module between STR 28 and A/D converters 32, 34 to provide the sample clock which has the same period as the symbol clock but is delayed in phase with respect to the symbol clock by one-half period as recited in page 11, lines 1-20. Thus, with the critical or essential element, MOD DELAY (Fig. 15 element 30), the pseudo-symbol will be generated. As described above, the modified circuit of the admitted prior art of the instant application and Takao et al. samples the quadrature component signals with the sample shifted clock that will inherently generate pseudo-symbol as claimed in claim 2.

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the clock timing recovery circuit 5a as taught by Takao et al. in between STR 28 and ADCs 32 and 34 of the admitted prior of the instant application to control the amount of phase shift of phase shift circuit 7 on the basis of this calculation result, a leading edge or trailing edge of corrected clock signal t₀ can be brought into alignment with the optimum clock timing (Fig.15 lines 21-24) so that the distortion can be easily detected to improve the quality.

Art Unit: 2611

- With regard claim 9, which is a method claim related to claim 2, all limitation is contained in claim 2. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- 4. Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art of the instant application and Takao et al. (US 5,920,220) as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Touzni et al. (US7,031,405).
 - With regard claim 3, the admitted prior art of the instant application and Takao et al. disclose all of the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching means for generating a template for the displaying means representing an ideal modulated signal.

However, Touzni et al. teaches means for generating a template for the displaying means representing an ideal modulated signal (Fig. 3 and column 5 lines 12-30) in order to provide the constant modulus (CM) criterion to the system for easy calculating the dispersion constant so applying a CM criterion to the constellation does not penalize spatial rotation of the constellation due to residual carrier offset. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include means for generating a template for the displaying means representing an ideal modulated signal as taught by Touzni et al. into the modified conventional receiver as described by the admitted prior art of the instant application (page 11 lines 1-16) and Takao et al. so as to provide the constant modulus (CM) criterion to the system for easy calculating the dispersion constant so applying a CM criterion to the constellation

Art Unit: 2611

does not penalize spatial rotation of the constellation due to residual carrier offset.

With regard claim 10, which is a method claim related to claim 3, all limitation is contained in claim 3. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-7 and 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon an objected claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ted M Wang Examiner Art Unit 2611

Ted M. Wang